# MHHS Cross Code Advisory Group Headline Report

**Issue date: 29/09/2022**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Meeting number | **CCAG010** |  | Venue | **Virtual – MS Teams** |
| Date and time | **28 September 2022 10:00-12:00** |  | Classification | **Public** |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Actions | | |  | | | | | | | |
| **Area** | **Action Ref** | | | | **Action** | | **Owner** | **Due Date** |
| **Horizon Scanning Log** | CCAG10-01 | | | | BSC to add CP1568 to Horizon Scanning Log and complete any empty BSC fields | | BSC Representative (Jonny Moore) | 18/09/2022 |
| CCAG10-02 | | | | REC to add R0065 to Horizon Scanning Log | | REC Representative (Ann Perry) | 18/09/2022 |
| **Code Drafting Plan Update** | CCAG10-03 | | | | Programme to discuss when settlement timetable drafting should be undertaken with MHHS Design Team, Elexon, and RECCo | | Programme  (Andrew Margan) | 18/09/2022 |
| CCAG10-04 | | | | CUSC to provide response on approach to legal text review | | CUSC Representative  (Paul Mullen) | 18/09/2022 |
| **Previous meetings** | CCAG07-11 | | | | Consider the enduring referencing and hosting of design artefacts and how this should be brought into each code. Update the code draft principles for approval in July CCAG. | | Programme  (Andrew Margan)​ | 20/07/2022​ |
| CCAG08-01 | | | | Speak with design team and clarify the process of how data item industry changes are tracked and managed within the Programme | | Programme  (Fraser Mathieson) | 17/08/22 |
| CCAG08-06 | | | | Provide feedback and supporting rationale on whether new code needs to be implemented for qualification (i.e. if qualification start is dependent on M6 (CCAG approval of code) or M8 (code implementation)). If code does not need to be implemented for qualification, provide feedback and rationale on the time at which new code does need to be implemented. | | CCAG members | 17/08/22 |
| CCAG08-07 | | | | Progress discussions to determine where the enduring solution for hosting design artefacts and bring back to CCAG | | Programme  (Jason Brogden) | 17/08/22 |
| CCAG08-08 | | | | Determine the approach to drafting topic areas that will not be drafted from the design baseline (e.g. qualification, transition) and bring to back to CCAG. | | Programme | 17/08/22 |
| CCAG09-01 | | | | Chair to follow-up with MHHS Testing Workstream regarding response to CH query on qualification. | | Chair | 31/08/2022 |
| CCAG09-06 | | | | Programme to produce key code drafting dependencies relating to qualification to inform view of code drafting and text activation requirements. | | Programme  (Andrew Margan) | 14/09/2022 |
| CCAG09-09 | | | | Programme to confirm where/how DIP data specification is hosted, managed, and owned. | | Programme  (Design Team) | 14/09/2022 |
| **Decisions** | | |  | | | | | | | |
| **Area** | **Dec Ref** | | | | **Decision** | | | | |
| **Minutes** | | CCAG-DEC19 | | | | Amended minutes (v1.2) of CCAG meeting held 24 August 2022 approved (available [here](https://www.mhhsprogramme.co.uk/api/documentlibrary/Meeting%20Papers/MHHS-DEL590%20CCAG%2024%20August%202022%20Minutes%20and%20Actions%20v1.2%20(final).pdf)) | | | | |
| **Code Drafting Plan Update** | | CCAG-DEC20 | | | | Updated Code Drafting Principles and Approach approved | | | | |
| **CDWG Updates** | | CCAG-DEC21 | | | | October 2022 Code Drafting Working Group stood down | | | | |
| **RAID Items Discussed** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **RAID area** | | | | | **Description** | | | | | |
| None | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Key Discussion items** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Area** | | | | **Discussion** | | | | | |
| **Minutes and Actions** | | | | The CCAG reviewed the open and outstanding actions from previous meetings, full details of which will be provided in the CCAG Minutes and Actions.  Regarding ACTION CCAG08-01, this action is ongoing as the design team is currently occupied with the comment triage process. The RECCo representative noted they are conducting a review of the Data Transfer Network (DTN) messages being retained post-MHHS that require tweaks to reflect new data items, which will be brought to the Consequential Change Impact Assessment Group (CCIAG).  Regarding ACTION CCAG09-09 relating to how the Data Integration Platform (DIP) data specification is managed; the group agreed to retain this action as ongoing until formal confirmation is provided. Representatives of the BSC, REC and the Programme agreed they are all working on the assumption this will sit within the Energy Market Data Specification (EMAR). | | | | | | |
| **Programme Updates** | | | | The Round 2 replan consultation closes on Friday 30 September 2022. CCAG members were encouraged to respond to the Round 2 replan consultation and Readiness Assessment (RA) 2 questions.  Regarding the design comment review process, design issue impact assessment sessions are being held Monday 03 October 2022 to Wednesday 05 October 2022. Enquiries can be directed to [PMO@mhhsprogramme.co.uk](mailto:PMO@mhhsprogramme.co.uk). | | | | | | |
| **Horizon Scanning Log** | | | | The Programme is dependent on code-bodies for updates on code changes. If a code modification is needed to enable the Programme and is unlikely to be approved, the Programme requests Code Bodies raise this to ensure Programme intervention where appropriate.  **SEC updates**  The SEC Representative updated the group on SEC MP162, noting it had been sent back by Ofgem and there is ongoing work to ensure its delivery. It was noted SEC DP206 would have potential impact on the Programme and the REC, but only requires monitoring from the Programme until further information is needed.  **BSC updates**  The BSC Representative noted BSC CP1568 was to be added to the Horizon Scanning Log (**ACTION CCAG10-0**1), and his change impacts REC also. The group discussed the challenges around the REC equivalents of BSC CP158 and BSC CP1568, noting the technical and political challenges, as well as the need to understand the impact for longer-term transition. There are no working groups for these CPs as a defined solution had already been created, and next steps involve producing a change report to address questions raised by the BSC Panel.  **REC updates**  The RECCo representative updated the CCAG on REC R0015, noting the recommendation to approve from the REC Code Manager was rejected by the REC Change Panel. The REC Code Manager is now awaiting a decision from the Authority. REC still believes this is a positive case. It is unlikely it will go ahead in its targeted release for November 2022 and subject to Ofgem’s decision, would be implemented in February 2023 instead with legal text becoming effective in June 2023.  The Programme considered the possibility of whether their presence at the REC Change Panel would have influenced the outcome of voting on REC R0015. The REC Representative noted there was debate around R0015 failing to provide tangible business benefits, despite meeting REC objectives. Members discussed the consequences of R0015 not being approved, as it impacts the successful migration of advanced meters into MHHS.  The RECCo representative concluded by noting the scope of REC R0044 is only around the Central Switching Service (CSS) changes at present and will be tested alongside SEC MP162. The representative highlighted MHHS Business Process Diagram 003A requires updating to refelt the R044 solution  **Conclusion**  The MHHS Governance Manager considered the usefulness of this meeting’s review of the Horizon Scanning Log and the benefits of asking Code Bodies to provide updates on their changes and noted this format would be continued at future meetings. | | | | | | |
| **Code Drafting Plan Update** | | | | The MHHS Governance Manager provide an updated overview of the code drafting plan, explaining how the original theoretical plan did not match up with the CCAG monthly meeting cycle. As a result, the delivery of M6(delivery of code drafting) has been extended from 12 months to 13 months, with code drafting due to commence in December 2022 and complete January 2024.  The group discussed the length of the code drafting process and the time taken up by industry review. The Power Data Associates representative raised that registration interactions with metering and data central services should be viewed as a high priority, to which the REC Representative agreed, stating the importance of managing resource. The NGESO representative noted the settlement timetable should be flagged in the code-drafting topics. The group noted it was currently assumed changes to the settlement timetable would not be undertaken as part of the CCAG’s code drafting activities, but this could be reconsidered if necessary.  The majority of the group approved an additional code drafting principle relating to lesser-impacted codes dovetailing into the code drafting topics being undertaken by BSC and REC (**DECISION CCAG20)**. | | | | | | |
| **Replan Activity for M7/M8** | | | | The MHHS Industry Expert provided updates on the second round of consultation on the Programme-wide replan activity, noting the need for substantial support and evidence if dates are to changed.  The planning consultation drafts were shared, and the group discussed the backstop for M8 (legal text activation). The Supplier Agent Representative considered the criticality of the systems’ go-live dates and how this relates to code drafting implementation. The group discussed the potential options of extraordinary code releases and noted systems should be built for test release, with extraordinary releases requiring a high threshold of reasoning. The group generally agreed aligning the implementation date of code drafting with the MHHS go live date provided felxiblity and was preferable to implementing in a standard release which could be some weeks before M10.  The MHHS industry Expert agreed to align M8 with M10 (commencement of migration) and add this into Round 3 of the replan.  It was noted consideration is required regarding qualification arrangements and whether this must be enshrined in code prior to qualification being undertaken, or whether participants may be able to qualify prior to code drafting being implemented, which would enable some flexibility with milestone dates. The group agreed qualification is a key matter, and whether code drafting on this area should be brought forward. | | | | | | |
| **REC/BSC Code Drafting Prototyping** | | | | The MHHS Industry Expert noted the general conclusion from the code drafting prototyping carried out with REC and BSC was positive and demonstrated that design artefacts can be used to draft code. This supports that the M5 success criteria relating to the design artefacts being sufficient to enable code drafting can be satisfied. One member noted they used the prototyping to raise issues into the design consultation and it highlighted issues around terminology and inconsistencies in the artefacts and had been a very useful exercise.  It was noted that RECCo had their own digital tools and did not use the Enduring Design Hub as a reference for code-drafting. The RECCo representative noted they are looking into demonstrations of the Enduring Design Hub and are engaging with the Programme and BSC on the potential for any ensuring hosting solution which sits outside the REC as a tool which could support participants (as opposed to regulator drafting). | | | | | | |
| **CDWG update** | | | | The October CDWG was stood down (**DECISION CCAG-DEC21**). The group agreed it would be sensible to hold a CDWG before January to review the code drafting plan, principles and approach, and to table initial matters which have been identified as relevant to code drafting. | | | | | | |

**Next Meeting: Wednesday 26 October 2022**